



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
. 09/647,302	09/27/2000	Fumihiko Nishio	450106-02304	450106-02304 5281	
20999 7	590 09/10/2004	EXAMI	EXAMINER		
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			SALCE, J.	SALCE, JASON P	
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2611	11	
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004	, 7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/647,302	NISHIO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jason P Salce	2611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was provided to the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) □ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r election requirement.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 September 2000</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecdrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO 412)			
Notice of References Cited (P10-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted 9/27/2000 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner is considering the information disclosure statement.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 7, Line 6, the numerals 1031 and 1032 should read 103₁ and 103₂.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: The limitation "said second transmission information converting means" should read "said transmission information converting means".

Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: The limitation "said <u>second</u> transmission information converting means" should read "said transmission information converting means".

The examiner notes that both claims can only refer back to the transmission information converting means, because there is no second transmission information converting means specified in claim 1.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 2611

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35

U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this

Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Thomas et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,666,645).

Referring to claim 1, Thomas discloses a meta information storing means (content data storage 92 in Figure 1) for storing meta information that is a description of the content to be transmitted (see Column 5, Lines 27-29 for a description of the content data being "data that refers to information inherent to the programs themselves").

Thomas also discloses meta-information schema storing means (see context data storage 94 in Figure 1) for storing a data structure of the meta-information (see Column 5, Lines 29-35 for context data containing data that defines the programs themselves by "when a program will be aired and on which channel" and "includes channel maps, PPV pricing and schedule information"). The examiner notes that a channel map (or a schedule of when a program airs) is a definition of the content data and therefore represents a data structure (map or schedule), which represents the meta-information schema.

Thomas also discloses transmission information converting means (see feed composition 730 in Figure 7) for converting the meta-information stored in said meta-information storing means (see content data storage 92 in Figure 1)

Art Unit: 2611

and the meta-information schema stored in said meta-information schema storing means (see context data storage 94 in Figure 1) into information in a transmission format (see Column 11, Lines 12-15 and Lines 22-23 for converting the data into the MPEG-2 transmission format). Also note Figure 7 and Column 11, Lines 47-62, which takes data from the content data storage 92 and the context data storage 94 (along with other types of schema information (elements 96 and 610)) and uses such data to produce the MPEG-2 stream discussed above, therefore, both the content data (meta-information) and the context data (meta-information schema) are both used for converting such data into a proper transmission format.

Thomas also discloses transmitting means (see data transport 740 in Figure 7) for transmitting an output of said transmission information converting means (see Column 11, Lines 60-62 for transporting the data to the target platforms (user's set top boxes)).

Referring to claim 2, Thomas discloses that the transmission information converting means further converts the meta-information and the meta-information schema into information in the same data format (see again Column 11, Lines 22-23 for converting the data into the MPEG-2 transmission format, and is therefore the <u>same</u> data format).

Referring to claim 3, Thomas discloses that the transmission converting means performs a converting process for converting the meta-information and the meta-information schema into information in the same format (see the rejection of claim 2 for converting the two types of data into the same format

Art Unit: 2611

(MPEG-2)) and adds an identifier that represents whether the data as the result of the converting process is the meta-information <u>or</u> the meta-information schema (see Column 11, Lines 23-44 for two types of PID values that represent either the meta-information (EPG data, see Column 11, Lines 24-26) or meta-information schema (see first PID used to identify broadcast program schedule data, which according to Column 5, Lines 29-35 is program schedule data)). Therefore the MPEG-2 format contains identifications for both meta-information (content data in storage 92 in Figure 1) and meta-information schema (context data in storage 94 in Figure 1).

Referring to claim 4, Thomas discloses that the transmission information converting means converts the meta-information and the meta-information schema storing means into information in an MPEG-2 section table format (see Column 11, Lines 22-23 for converting the data into an MPEG-2 format and Column 11, Lines 23-44 for breaking up the stream into multiple packets identified by PIDs, which identifies channel descriptions and broadcast program schedule data (e.g. Column 11, Lines 33-36)). The examiner notes that the MPEG-2 format inherently contains tables that define specific sections of programs in tables such as the PAT or PMT (see page 47 of the Acronyms and Glossary (Digital Compressed Video, Communications and Storage reference), which has been cited and provided by the examiner. The PAT is defined as, "A table defined in MPEG systems transport stream that assigns program numbers (channels) and program map table identifiers" and the PMT is defined as, "A table in MPEG systems transport stream that specifies packet identification

Art Unit: 2611

values for the program components". Therefore, both tables provide a <u>MPEG-2</u> section table format.

Referring to claim 5, see the rejection of claim 1.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Noble et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,634,053) discloses a database system that uses metadata and schema data in order to provide a unified database system used for search queries.

Wasilewski (U.S. Patent No. 5,600,378) is another system used for processing table data in order to define an electronic program guide, similar to Thomas.

Davis et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,559,548) is another system similar to Thomas for receiving EPG data and determine (from channel map data) a program schedule to transmit to the client.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason P Salce whose telephone number is (703) 305-1824. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Faile can be reached on (703) 305-4380. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

* Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 11, 2004

Javar Sulle